

due
April 14, 1996



0756-864 497
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/051,313	04/23/93	TAKEMURA	Y 0756-864

ESM1/0313
SIXBEY, FRIEDMAN, LEEDOM & FERGUSON
2010 CORPORATE RIDGE, STE. 600
MCLEAN, VA 22102

DUONG T. EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2515

DATE MAILED:

03/13/96

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☒ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) ☒ is extended to run _____ or continues to run 3 mos from the date of the final rejection
- b) ☒ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- ☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
- ☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 2/16/96 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. ☒ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
- a. ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
 - b. ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
 - c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
 - d. ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: NEWLY AMENDED CLAIMS 5, 23 AND NEWLY ADDED CLAIM 24
RECITE NEW LIMITATIONS THAT WOULD REQUIRE FURTHER CONSIDERATION
AND/OR SEARCH

2. ☒ Newly proposed or amended claim 22 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☒ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: 1-3 AND 21
Claims objected to: NONE
Claims rejected: 5, 6, 22 AND 23

However:

- ☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): _____

4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE CLAIMS, AS FINALLY REJECTED, DO NOT COMPLY WITH 35 U.S.C. 112, AND ARE NOT PATENTABLY DISTINCT OVER THE PRIOR ART FOR THE REASONS SET FORTH IN THE FINAL REJECTION.
5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

- ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
- ☐ Other

WILLIAM L. SIKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2500

EFFECTIVE FILING DATE -

4-23-93

PTOL 300 (REV. 5-89)

N.A.